1	1 9 1 01 23
	- 1 -
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 08-13555(JMP)
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
15	One Bowling Green
16	New York, New York
17	
18	June 14, 2010
19	9:34 AM
20	
21	
22	BEFORE:
23	HON. JAMES M. PECK
24	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
25	

	. g = 5. 25	
		- 3 -
1		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3	JONES DAY	
4	Special Counsel for the Debtors	
5	222 East 41st Street	
6	New York, NY 10017	
7		
8	BY: ROBERT W. GAFFEY, ESQ.	
9	WILLIAM J. HINE, ESQ.	
10		
11	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
12	Attorneys for Barclays Capital, Inc.	
13	575 Lexington Avenue	
14	7th Floor	
15	New York, NY 10022	
16		
17	BY: JONATHAN D. SCHILLER, ESQ.	
18		
19	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP	
20	Attorneys for James W. Giddens, SIPA Trustee	
21	One Battery Park Plaza	
22	New York, NY 10004	
23		
24	BY: WILLIAM R. MAGUIRE, ESQ.	
25	NEIL J. OXFORD, ESQ.	

		1 9 4 01 23
		- 4 -
1		
2	QUINN	EMMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
3		Special Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured
4		Creditors
5		51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
6		New York, NY 10010
7		
8	BY:	JAMES C. TECCE, ESQ.
9		
10	STUTMA	AN TREISTER & GLATT
11		Attorneys for Interested Party, Elliott Management
12		1901 Avenue of the Stars
13		12th Floor
14		Los Angeles, CA 90067
15		
16	BY:	WHITMAN L. HOLT, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
17		REBECCA S. REVICH, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
18		
19	STUTMA	AN TREISTER & GLATT
20		Attorneys for Creditor, Perry Capital
21		1901 Avenue of the Stars
22		12th Floor
23		Los Angeles, CA 90067
24		
25	BY:	MARINA FINEMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

- 5 -PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning. Welcome 3 back. IN UNISON: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 MR. GAFFEY: Robert Gaffey, Your Honor, for the debtor. I thought it might take -- it might make some sense, 6 7 Your Honor, to take a minute just to describe how we propose to 8 proceed this morning. 9 THE COURT: I'm interested in that. MR. GAFFEY: Well, we have designations to read over 10 the next few days of the testimony of about nineteen witnesses. 11 12 We have cut back -- over the course of the 2004 discovery and 13 the discovery incident to the suit itself, Your Honor, we took -- it must be seventy depositions. So we've cut back 14 15 considerably on -- but from the total body of deposition 16 testimony. 17 We have exchanged designations with Barclays' counsel and have their cross-designations as well. We have included 18 19 both all the movants' designations and all of Barclays' 20 designations in transcripts which, if we read each one, propose to read them in sequence, not ours and then theirs. I'm 21 22 inclined, Your Honor, unless Your Honor feels it's an important 23 piece of information for the Court to have, not to stop each time and identify who designated what. It's all evidence --24 THE COURT: Right. 25

- 6 -

MR. GAFFEY: -- so it all should come in.

Mechanically, Your Honor, we have a Plan A and a Plan B for the Court. We have the witness books, that I know everybody's grown so fond of, for each witness, and those include copies of each of the exhibits referred to in any piece of designated testimony and we'll be able to identify, as we read, what the cross-reference is to trial numbers. We also have, if the Court would prefer, something more streamlined.

This is all the deposition testimony in total that we've designated. This is just the transcript. It's miniscripts, and it's highlighted in color with, I think, yellow for the movants and blue for the -- for Barclays --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GAFFEY: -- so you'd be able to see who the designations are.

So it's at Your Honor's pleasure. Do you want the collection of each book as we get on the stand, or is the Court happy with --

THE COURT: I'll take the single book.

MR. GAFFEY: Okay. All right. And we'll be able, obviously, to put designations up on -- I'm sorry, exhibits up on the screen.

THE COURT: Now, is it your intention to have exhibits on the screen while the depositions are being read?

MR. GAFFEY: More or less, Your Honor, it is. What my

- 7 -

plan had been was we'll put up -- one of our colleagues will take the stand, and we're going to try and mix it up a little bit so the Court's not listening to the same voice for two days.

THE COURT: Are you planning two days of this, actually?

MR. GAFFEY: I think it will take that, Your Honor.

By the time -- we had designated about -- we, the movants, had designated about 600 pages. Figure three full deposition transcripts when you add them all together. And then with the cross-designations from Barclays, it's a rough estimate but I think it's between 3- and 400 pages more.

I think it will take the two days. Our estimate for reading it all in, when we talked about scheduling the last time, was two days. The cross-designations might lengthen that a little bit, but we're going to proceed as briskly as we can and try and fit it into that time.

THE COURT: Just a procedural point: To the extent that any of the testimony might be the sort that would prompt an objection, has this process of designation led to an agreement that all of the testimony as presented will be presented without interruption?

MR. GAFFEY: No, Your Honor, it has not. We had spoken about -- not through the fact that we were not able to agree, but due to the fact that the conversation we were having

with Barclays' counsel about trying to find a way to designate and get a mechanism for resolving objections revolved around the proposal we had made at one point about submitting the testimony and then coming up with a mechanism to identify them and ask for rulings if we couldn't agree. But once it was determined that we had to proceed by reading, I think the presumption was if they have an objection they need to make it. Or if Your Honor has another idea as to how we should proceed, I'm happy to hear it.

It seems to me, in terms of efficiency, it does make sense to maybe preserve objections and then put them all in later, or some such thing, so that we're not taking that time, as we have lawyers collected, and the Court's time, in reading.

THE COURT: I'd like to hear what others think about that, but it seems to me that doing this the most efficient way is the way we should strive for. But if it turns out that it actually lengthens the process, that would be counterproductive.

MR. GAFFEY: I will say that with regard to the cross-designations, Your Honor, and I'm speaking only for the debtor but I suspect I have the agreement of the other movants, we've reviewed what they've cross-designated and, in the interest both of efficiency and the nature of the testimony, we don't have objections.

THE COURT: Okay.

- 9 -Mr. Schiller? 1 MR. SCHILLER: Good morning, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 MR. SCHILLER: Just to remind the Court, my colleague 4 5 Mr. Boies is preparing for the Proposition 8 arguments Tuesday and Wednesday in San Francisco; otherwise, he would be here. 6 7 THE COURT: I understand there was also a very nice 8 piece on that in yesterday's New York Times. 9 MR. SCHILLER: And he'll join us next Monday and 10 Tuesday, Judge. But I apologize for his absence today. As one who was a proponent for the old-fashioned way 11 of a reading, a month, two months ago --12 13 THE COURT: Are you prepared to change your mind on that? 14 15 MR. SCHILLER: I am. Your Honor asked for a different 16 approach. Your Honor admonished the parties to streamline. 17 THE COURT: Right. MR. SCHILLER: We were afraid about the dump, putting 18 19 boxes in front of the Court of reams of testimony. That's not 20 the case now. Both parties have streamlined, cooperatively. Objections, though, would interrupt and delay, as well as the 21 22 cost of all these suits sitting here, all of us being here. 23 THE COURT: Well, it is a very well-dressed group. MR. SCHILLER: It's well-dressed. Thank you. 24 25 But we would put in written objections and agree to

- 10 -

Your Honor's reading the designations that we've made outside of open court, if Your Honor was disposed toward that, as an efficient time-saving device this week.

THE COURT: If you're prepared to do that and there's no objection to that, that's something I am prepared to consider doing.

Let me tell you one of the things that concerns me, and it's the notion of transparency into the process. There are people who I assume are in court who are not associated with any one of the law firms involved in the litigation, who are paying some attention to this proceeding and in some instances reporting to their principals or reporting to the public. And so one of the concerns that I have about the case as a whole is that important evidence be presented publicly. In that respect, I'm wondering how this process works. Is there a repository, a public repository, for the information you're about to present to me so that, as I'm reading it, others can read it as well?

MR. GAFFEY: If I might suggest, Your Honor, we haven't had a chance to discuss this, but I have thought about the transparency issue. It seems to me that if we -- and we've agreed that these are the designations and the crossdesignations -- if we were to mark this collection of deposition testimony as Movants' Exhibit -- whatever my next number is, or Joint Exhibit, we can put this on the public

- 11 file, Your Honor. It's --1 2 Immediately. MR. SCHILLER: MR. GAFFEY: It's easy for the public to follow. It's 3 not bound up in briefs and exhibits and, you know, we'll put 4 5 one quick stipulation over the top so it's got a reason to be filed. And we can just put the testimony on the record; it'll 6 7 be available on the court's docket for anybody who wants to read it. 8 9 THE COURT: That sounds like a good solution. 10 MR. GAFFEY: Complete with color-coding so they'll be able to see whose designations they are as well. 11 THE COURT: And with color-coding that says which 12 parts of the depositions are really important and should be 13 read, perhaps? 14 15 MR. GAFFEY: I will leave that to the Court, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay, fine. MR. GAFFEY: I'm tempted to talk about eight and a 18 19 half by ten color glossy pictures as well, but that would date 2.0 me. So what I suggest then, Your Honor, is if you're 21 inclined to proceed that way, I think we would just have to 22 work out -- and I'm not -- I don't think we need to take the 23 Court's time -- some sort of mechanism for the submission of 24 25 objections, and we can either respond to them by --

- 12 -THE COURT: That's fine. What about the video 1 2 component of this? I'd like --3 MR. GAFFEY: There is no video component of this, Your Honor. We did not video the 2004 discovery. There was no 4 5 action pending. THE COURT: I see. 7 MR. GAFFEY: And we have not selected from any of the 8 video transcripts. 9 MR. SCHILLER: We shall have several in our case, Your 10 Honor, as you saw in the opening. MR. GAFFEY: But that's down the road, so --11 MR. MAGUIRE: There's one minor exception to that, 12 Your Honor. 13 Bill Maguire for the trustee. 14 15 And there are just a couple of depositions where there 16 is some short video. And what I might suggest with those is we 17 could submit -- the transcript is part of the binder that Mr. Gaffey referred to. But we can also provide the Court, and I 18 19 suppose we could even put in the court file, a DVD that has 20 that. It's only about an hour, I think, between all the witnesses. But just so the Court has that. And we can make 21 22 some arrangements so that it's in the court file, if anybody 23 wanted to see that. The transcript, obviously, reflects everything. But 24 25 to the extent it is captured on DVD and is available for the

- 13 -Court, the best way, I think, is for us to submit with the 1 2 binder just a DVD that has the testimony of those two or three 3 witnesses. THE COURT: All right. 5 Is that acceptable to everybody? MR. GAFFEY: Sounds like we have a plan, Your Honor. 6 7 That's acceptable to me. And, I apologize, I forgot that we 8 had the videos of a few witnesses. 9 MR. SCHILLER: That's fine with Barclays, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So does that mean we all go home 11 now? MR. SCHILLER: If we could discuss schedule, Your 12 13 Honor, just for planning, that would be helpful. THE COURT: I think that would be helpful. 14 15 MR. GAFFEY: Well, we had these two days this week set aside, Your Honor, for depositions. And I think the answer to 16 17 those is to give them back to the Court and the lawyers, because we won't be able to reschedule witnesses on that 18 19 schedule. 2.0 THE COURT: I actually didn't realize that we had both days this week set for depositions; I rather assumed that we 21 22 had some witness coming in on Friday. But if that's not so, 23 that's fine. It would be terrific in terms of using reserve time, if it were possible, to actually produce a live witness 24

for Friday's hearing, since I'm here and plan to be here.

- 14 -MR. GAFFEY: Can I have the morning on that and get 1 2 back to the Court, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Oh, sure. MR. GAFFEY: I have to reach my first witness for --4 5 who is scheduled for the 21st. THE COURT: I --7 MR. GAFFEY: That is the Monday --8 THE COURT: I don't want to create problems --9 MR. GAFFEY: No --10 THE COURT: -- by making that comment as much as saying that, having set aside time this month and having all 11 12 this equipment brought in in anticipation of live witnesses, it would be certainly more efficient to be able to use the reserve 13 time and the equipment which is here. 14 15 MR. GAFFEY: Let's see what we can do amongst 16 ourselves to, if we can, have some witnesses in on Friday, Your 17 Honor, and we'll let the Court know later today if we can do that. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 2.0 MR. GAFFEY: I'm reminded, though, Your Honor -- I beg your pardon. You may recall at the pretrial we had by 21 22 telephone that neither Mr. Boies nor I were available on the 23 Friday; that's when we were talking about reading in depositions. And --24 25 THE COURT: I am reminded of that --

- 15 -MR. GAFFEY: And --1 THE COURT: -- yes. 2 MR. GAFFEY: -- thank God I'm reminded of it. 3 Assuming we proceed on Monday, Your Honor, the 4 5 schedule we had in mind, subject to that change, is: on Monday we will hear from Mr. Marsal and Mr. Diamond; on Tuesday we 7 will hear from Mr. Cox and Mr. Varley; and I believe the next 8 day is the following Friday when we would hear from Mr. 9 Despins; and some very short testimony from a 10 PricewaterhouseCoopers partner who's under subpoena because we've not been able to agree about the admission of one 11 particular document. And at that point, I think with the 12 submission of this we would be -- we would have concluded our 13 14 presentation. 15 MR. MAGUIRE: There may be one -- a need for one or 16 two additional Barclays witnesses if we're unable to get 17 agreement with respect to one or two documents that -- we're still waiting to hear from Barclays' counsel as to whether 18 19 there's an agreement as to the authenticity of the document. 20 But if we can't get an agreement as to admissibility, we may need an additional Barclays executive with respect to 21 22 documents, Your Honor. MR. TECCE: Your Honor, for the committee. 23 We have been unable to reach an agreement with 24 25 Barclays with respect to the admission of a few e-mails.

- 16 we -- before the conclusion of next Friday, we would just 1 require perhaps ten minutes of the Court's time to try and move 2 those exhibits into evidence. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 Well, this is a surprisingly empty day. I guess I'll use the time to read. 6 MR. GAFFEY: Well, it's not as much as Your Honor 7 8 would have thought. 9 May I hand the book up, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes, you may, of course. MR. GAFFEY: And then we'll see to filing it. 11 MR. SCHILLER: With the Court's permission. Your 12 13 Honor, it's been some time since we talked about the larger picture of Your Honor's schedule and putting in our case. And 14 15 if I could just turn to that, because we have witnesses with 16 families wanting to know will they be testifying in August, for 17 example, will they be testifying early September, and I'd like to tell them yes, but I'd like Your Honor's review of your 18 19 calendar, as it's been a while since we've looked at it, to see 20 what you have open and when you want us in to do our case over a couple-week period, which we had envisioned. 21 22 THE COURT: Well, if it would be helpful, I can go into chambers and take a second look at what the calendar 23 consists of. But my best recollection is that the time that I 24 allocated to you remains allocated and available. 25

- 17 -

MR. SCHILLER: Great. Well, but we know what that is, Judge, so we'll work on that basis.

THE COURT: And, in fact, I particularly set aside the time in August because it was open and connected time so you would have sequential days.

MR. SCHILLER: That's great. Thank you. And if we have a question, we'll confirm it with your calendar clerk, then, what we understand the dates are, when we intend to be here --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHILLER: -- just so that you're comfortable with that.

THE COURT: And is there any revision in your own estimate as to the number of trial days that you will need for your case?

MR. SCHILLER: No. I think two weeks will be sufficient for us. And then there's the week of the experts where they put theirs on, we put ours on. We're confident, I believe, collectively that that will be done in a week. So if we take advantage of that week of the 23rd of August, Judge, and the first two days of the following week, the 30th and the 31st -- or I should say the second week all together, and then bring in the experts, working around the Jewish Holidays, which are the 9th and the 10th of September, I think the parties -- in the week of the 13th, Judge, I believe you had some

- 18 commitments, and we were --1 2 THE COURT: Well, I had some -- I had a desire to 3 participate in --MR. SCHILLER: Right. 4 5 THE COURT: -- an event I'd been invited to, but it was very optional. 6 7 MR. SCHILLER: So I think we would be done -- the week 8 of the 20th through the 24th for experts, we would be done. 9 MR. GAFFEY: I think experts may take a little longer 10 than that, Your Honor. I don't recall us saying we could get it all done in a week. We can certainly try, but there are 11 12 just a number of them. So I think a week may be a bit 13 optimistic. MR. SCHILLER: Well, then maybe we can start that days 14 15 that are available to you the week of the 13th, if that's the 16 case, if there are any. I don't remember Your Honor's 17 specifics on that. 18 THE COURT: Let me take a five-minute break anyway 19 just to double-check my calendar so that we can have a clear 20 understanding of what's going to be happening in August and September. And to the extent that there are witness 21 22 adjustments that are necessary, we can have you make them with 23 as much advance notice as possible. 24 MR. SCHILLER: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: So let's take a five-minute break and I'll

- 19 be back. 1 (Recess from 9:52 a.m. until 10:05 a.m.) THE COURT: Be seated, please. 3 Mr. Schiller, I'm glad you invited me to take another 4 5 look at my calendar, because I remembered having more time available for this than I actually do. So let me tell you what 6 7 days appear to be available. And there are some breaks in the 8 consecutive days. Starting with the week of August 23, I have 9 available for you the 23rd and the 24th; the afternoon of the 10 25th, starting at, say, 2 o'clock; and the 27th. The week of the --11 12 MR. SCHILLER: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: The week of the 30th, I have available the 13 30th, the 31st, and Thursday the 2nd of September. I am not 14 15 going to force anybody to participate in a trial day on the 16 Friday before Labor Day Weekend, including myself. 17 The following week is the week that includes Rosh Hashanah, and I think it prudent for that to be simply a week 18 19 off, unless there's a strong desire to use certain days that 20 week. One available day, if you wish to use it, would be the 10th. Another available day would be the 7th. So if you need 21 22 the time, I would suggest that we knock out the 8th and the 9th 23 and, in effect, reserve for possible use the 7th and the 10th --24 25 MR. SCHILLER: Yes, sir.

- 20 -THE COURT: -- based upon the availability of witnesses and counsel. I will be available on both of those days, and I will reserve both those days. MR. SCHILLER: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: And then the week of the 20th you have the entire week: 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd and 24th. The following week, which is the week of the 27th, because of another engagement on the 27th and the 28th, those dates are out. But the 29th and the 30th are available. I will have to leave early on the 30th because I have to go out of town that afternoon. And the 1st is not an available day. October 4, that entire week is available: 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th and 8th. MR. SCHILLER: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: And if necessary, the week of October 18th, I can reserve the 18th, 21st and 22nd. MR. SCHILLER: We shall work with the other parties to try to conclude this work in September if we can, if we can

reach agreement on that. We'll let you know as soon as we can.

THE COURT: Okay. And if you can't, the week of October 4th is your cleanup week.

MR. SCHILLER: Thank you very much, Judge.

MR. GAFFEY: Your Honor, excuse me, just one quick housekeeping. I'm not sure in the book that I gave Your Honor is this list cross-designating deposition exhibit numbers that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 21 are referred to in the testimony, with the trial exhibit 1 numbers. 3 THE COURT: Oh, that's a useful chart. MR. GAFFEY: Why don't I hand that up, if I can. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. MR. GAFFEY: Now, we can, if Your Honor would like, 6 7 put together and submit to the Court a binder of the exhibits themselves, maybe in that same order, in the order in which 8 9 they're referred to. It might make for ease of the Court's 10 reference if there is an exhibit referred to in the testimony. THE COURT: I think that would be a very useful tool 11 12 as well. MR. GAFFEY: Okay. We'll take care of that as well, 13 then, Judge. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 Is there anything more? MR. GAFFEY: Not from the debtor, Your Honor. 17 18 MR. SCHILLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That does it, then, for not only 19 20 today but for this week. 21 MR. GAFFEY: It does, Your Honor, unless we can get back to you with witnesses for Friday. But --22 THE COURT: But it sounds like that won't be 23 24 happening --25 MR. GAFFEY: I think it's unlikely, yes.

```
- 22 -
               THE COURT: -- because of the scheduling problems.
1
 2
               All right, so I'll see you next week, then.
3
               MR. GAFFEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. SCHILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
5
               THE COURT: Okay, we're adjourned.
 6
           (Proceedings concluded at 10:10 AM)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
- 23 -
 2
                                 CERTIFICATION
 3
       I, Clara Rubin, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true
 4
 5
       and accurate record of the proceedings.
                              Digitally signed by Clara Rubin
DN: cn=Clara Rubin, c=US
Reason: I am the author of this document
Date: 2010.06.15 16:35:38 -04'00'
 6
        Clara Rubir
 7
       Clara Rubin
 8
       AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber (CET**D-491)
 9
10
11
       Veritext
12
       200 Old Country Road
13
       Suite 580
       Mineola, NY 11501
14
15
16
       Date: June 15, 2010
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```